TEXAS STATE AFFORDABLE HOUSING CORPORATION

BOARD MEETING

TSAHC Offices 2200 East Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd. Austin, Texas 78702

> Wednesday, June 12, 2019 10:35 a.m.

BOARD MEMBERS:

WILLIAM H. DIETZ, JR., Chair VALERIE V. CARDENAS, Member COURTNEY JOHNSON-ROSE, Member LALI SHIPLEY, Member ANDY WILLIAMS, Member

I N D E X

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
CALL TO ORDER ROLL CALL CERTIFICATION OF QUORUM	3
PUBLIC COMMENT	none
PRESIDENT=S REPORT	5
Tab A: Homeownership Finance Report Tab B: Development Finance Report Tab C: Monthly Financial Report	
ACTION ITEMS IN OPEN MEETING:	
Tab 1 Presentation, Discussion and Possible Approval of Minutes of the Board Meeting held on May 8, 2019.	10
Tab 2 Discussion and Designation of a Vice Chair for the Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation Board of Directors.	11
Tab 3 Discussion and Possible Approval of Audit Committee Membership.	13
Tab 4 Presentation and Discussion by Patterson and Associates regarding the Corporation's Investment Portfolio.	18
Tab 5 Presentation, Discussion and Possible Approval of a Resolution Appointing Hearing Officers for the Corporation.	32 g
Tab 6 Presentation, Discussion and Possible Approval of a Resolution Regarding the Submission of one or more Applications for Allocation of Private Activity Bonds, Notices of Intention to Issue Bonds and State Bond Applications to the Texas Bond Review Board for the Ventura at Fairgrounds Apartments.	
Tab 7 Presentation, Discussion and Possible Approval of the Corporation's Program Guidelines for Phase II of the Housing and Economic Assistance to Rebuild Texas (HEART) Program.	43

Tab 8 Discuss Session		86th Texas	Legislative	55
CLOSED MEETING:				none
ACTION ITEMS IN C	PEN MEETIN	G:		none
ANNOUNCEMENTS AND	CLOSING C	OMMENTS		62
ADJOURN:				62

1 PROCEEDINGS MR. DIETZ: It is 10:35 a.m. on June 12, and 2 the Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation Board 3 4 meeting is called to order. We'll do roll call first. Bill Dietz, Chair, I 5 6 am here. 7 Valerie Cardenas? MS. CARDENAS: Present. 8 MR. DIETZ: Courtney Johnson? 9 MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: Present. 10 MR. DIETZ: Lali Shipley? 11 MS. SHIPLEY: Present. 12 MR. DIETZ: Andy Williams? 13 14 MR. WILLIAMS: Present. 15 MR. DIETZ: Okay. We are all present. So, we do have a quorum. 16 17 If you will please join me in the Pledge of 18 Allegiance before we begin. (The Pledge of Allegiance and the Texas 19 20 Allegiance were recited.) MR. DIETZ: Before we begin, is there any 21 public comment? 22 23 (No response.) MR. DIETZ: Hearing no public comment, we'll 24 move straight into the president's report from Mr. Long. 25

MR. LONG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members.

First, welcome to all the new members. I appreciate the time that they took. For the record, Mr. Chairman, all three of the new members did complete their training, and I'd like to thank Ms. Kennard and Mr. Gregg for their participation in making sure that the new members completed their training. So welcome, everybody.

Quick update for the new Board members, I do this every month but, basically, just a quick overview of what's been going on in the office. So in front of you you'll find your board books. You'll find the program, fundraising and financial reports under tab items A through C in the board book.

I would like to mention we also have an update on the new office building in there that will be included under one of the report items, which is under tab B is an update on our new office building that we've been working on.

And the Loan Committee met yesterday. Ms.

Cardenas attended via phone, and while we didn't take any action on any new loans or any existing loans, we did discuss some potential new items that we're going to be considering over the next several months. And, we also did review and discuss all of the staff reports and typically look at our portfolio and make sure that everything is in

good shape.

Regarding Homeownership Programs training, staff continues to stay extremely busy. They conducted the Down Payment Hurdle classes and provided training to 100 Realtors since our last Board meeting. Two hundred and three loan officers participated in the Corporation's online webinar training last month to learn about our new homeownership down payment assistance options, and we also signed up ten new mortgage companies that were approved and can begin offering our single family programs. To date, that's about 190 mortgage companies that we have that participate in total with our Single Family Homeownership Programs.

Under fundraising, the HEART Program, Housing and Economic Assistance to Rebuild Texas Program, the Corporation has been offering grant awards to our nonprofit partners that we look to, and TSAHC awarded a \$20,000 grant to Victoria Long Term Recovery to support home repairs for low income households in Victoria. The grant also includes some additional technical assistance that we coordinate with Enterprise Community Partners.

For the record, this is a program that we started last spring with ECP in support of nonprofits that provide home repairs and other housing assistance to households that were affected by Hurricane Harvey. To

date, we've awarded more than \$1.4 million in support of 35 local nonprofits, and this program is funded by the Rebuild Texas Fund, the Meadows Foundation, the Center for Disaster Philanthropy, and a commitment of TSAHC program revenue that the Board approved at our last budget.

2.

Under the Housing Connection, the Corporation recently awarded \$1.1 million in the Rebuild Texas Fund -- or I should say we recently were awarded \$1.1 million.

These monies will support the second phase of our grant awards under the HEART Program, and we'll provide more information about the HEART Program under tab item 7 when we will ask the Board to consider program guidelines that will be used in that Phase II process.

We continue to receive individual donations in support of our upcoming Housing Connection training. That will be held later this month at the Federal Reserve Bank. Since December, the Corporation has been awarded \$60,000 in grants and received \$16,000 in donations from mortgage companies, loan officers and Realtors throughout Texas in support of that program. So, we'd like to thank them for all of their support and their participation and recognizing what we do with that in terms of training other entities.

Regarding marketing, Homeownership staff recently launched our Lender Advisory Council which is

comprised of eight of our top producing loan officers in Texas Homebuyer Homeownership Programs. The council will meet quarterly to share their feedback and make suggestions for the program.

In honor of June as National Homeownership

Month, the staff launched a campaign to promote the Homes

for Texas Heroes Program through email, blog posts, and

social media. The campaign includes new flyers and other

promotional materials to help educate lenders, realtors

and homebuyers about the benefits of the program.

The Communications and Development Department has hired Anna Orendain -- and I'm sure I butchered that, Anna, I'm sorry -- as our summer intern. Anna is a recent graduate of UT and will support the various marketing and communication projects that we'll be working on over the next several months. And so, we're very excited to have her on board and she's been on board since the beginning of this week and she's doing a great job. So I'll let somebody else recognize her. Michael can embarrass her later during his presentation. But, Anna is in the back.

Asset Oversight and Compliance, our multifamily reviews, on May 20, the division closed on its third and final home in the expansion of the Single Family Rental Program where we were buying homes and then we lease those to moderate income families, moderate to low income

families.

If you recall, Mr. Chairman, you had asked that we expand that outside the scope of the MSA of Austin and we did so in San Antonio. And, on May 20, we closed on our third home in San Antonio and that will utilize all the funds that the Board authorized for us to purchase homes in San Antonio.

The home, for the record, the last one purchased, is in northeast San Antonio. It is a 4-bedroom, 2-1/2 bath, about 1,675 square feet. The good thing about this is an example of what we do when we buy these homes. The market rents in that area are about \$1,550 a month, and our tenant who moved in on June 1 is paying rent of \$1,162. So we try and stay well below the market rents for that area and provide them an opportunity to have really nice housing in a high-opportunity area.

Staff participation, I kind of like to tell you what we're doing for the record. Janie and Celina are actually at NCSHA Multifamily Credit Connect Conference in San Francisco today and tomorrow and Friday. And so, they wanted to send their wishes to the new Board members, but they're actually attending the conference, so that's something that we do there.

On June 6, Michael Wilt moderated a panel at the Bisnow event on affordable housing. And Cassandra

attended the Center for Development Progress Training

Academy in Houston, and through that training it will

enhance the Corporation's development and management of

our properties in our Land Bank program.

Legislative update. While the 86th Texas

Legislative Session ended on May 27, Michael Wilt will be providing you an update and a recap under tab item 8 in the board book, so I'll wait for Michael to kind of do that for you.

And I also like to always recognize our professionals that are in attendance, so one, that the Board members get to know them, and two, just to make sure that everybody knows who's here. Karen Kennard and Aaron Gregg are here with Greenberg Traurig. They're our general counsel, and the Board members had a chance to meet with them yesterday and last week with Ms. Shipley.

Claire Merritt is here with Hilltop Securities, our municipal advisor. Chris Spelbring is here with Raymond James, our underwriter firm. And Linda Patterson, who you will be hearing from under one of the tab items, is our investment advisor and she's here with Patterson and Associates. And if I missed anybody else, I apologize.

Mr. Chairman, as always, our next scheduled meeting is July 10, tentatively, and we'll keep you

1	informed as we move forward.
2	And with that, unless there's any questions,
3	I'll conclude my remarks.
4	MR. DIETZ: Thank you.
5	Any questions or comments from the Board?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. DIETZ: Then we'll move into the action
8	items for our open meeting today. Tab 1 contains the
9	Presentation, discussion and possible approval of the
10	minutes of the Board meeting held on May 8, 2019.
11	Is there a motion to approve?
12	MS. CARDENAS: So moved.
13	MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: Second.
14	MR. DIETZ: It has been moved and seconded. Is
15	there any discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. DIETZ: Any public comment?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. DIETZ: All in favor, please say aye.
20	(A chorus of ayes.)
21	MR. DIETZ: Any opposed?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. DIETZ: Okay. The minutes from the Board
24	meeting held on May 8, 2019, are approved by the Board.
25	Tab item 2, Discussion and designation of a

1 vice chair for the Texas State Affordable Housing 2 Corporation Board of Directors. So we've got three new Board members. And, I 3 4 guess, let me take this opportunity just to welcome our three new Board members. We're really excited to have 5 6 each of you here, Courtney, Lali, and Andy. And because 7 of some of the turnover in the Board, we've found ourselves without a vice chair currently. 8 Traditionally, the vice chair is probably the 9 senior ranking Board member, and Ms. Cardenas has been 10 with us almost a year. Are there any alternative 11 suggestions? That would be my suggestion for a motion. 12 Any motion to that effect? 13 14 MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: So moved to recommend for 15 vice chair Ms. Cardenas, if she's open to serving. MR. DIETZ: Great. Thank you. So it's been 16 17 moved that we appoint by the Board the vice chair as Ms. Valerie Cardenas. Is there a second? 18 MR. WILLIAMS: I second. 19 20 MR. DIETZ: All right. It's been moved and seconded. 21 22 Is there any public comment? 23 (No response.) MR. DIETZ: Any further discussion? 24 25 (No response.)

MR. DIETZ: All in favor? 1 (A chorus of ayes.) 2 MR. DIETZ: Any opposed? 3 4 (No response.) It passes, so Ms. Cardenas is the 5 MR. DIETZ: 6 new vice chair. Congratulations. 7 MS. CARDENAS: Thank you. 8 (Applause.) MR. DIETZ: And tab 3 is the Discussion and 9 possible approval of the Audit Committee membership. 10 There are two standing committees that a Board 11 member serves on, the Audit Committee and the Loan 12 13 Committee. There aren't any other committees that I'm 14 overlooking, are there? And so, we do need to have two Board members on 15 16 the Audit Committee, we have one Board member on the Loan 17 Committee. And I guess currently both of the Board members that were previously on the Audit Committee have 18 19 now moved on, so we need to have two new people, two new 20 Board members on that Audit Committee. Traditionally, the chairman of the Audit Committee has been the vice chair, 21 and so does this require a motion, a formal motion on the 22 23 Audit Committee membership? 24 MS. KENNARD: (Speaking from audience.) 25 need to make a motion.

1 MR. DIETZ: So, I guess, we can handle it as two separate motions for the two different positions, or 2 we can do it all as one motion. 3 4 MS. KENNARD: (Speaking from audience.) Yes, just one. 5 6 MR. DIETZ: So I might suggest that, if she's 7 willing and able, Ms. Cardenas be appointed the chairman of the Audit Committee, and then we would need one other 8 Board member to serve on the Audit Committee as well. 9 discussion? 10 MS. CARDENAS: I certainly thank you for the 11 opportunity to sit on Audit Committee and I can certainly 12 13 do that. So I guess, yes, we'll need to now make a recommendation for an additional member, and then since I 14 currently serve on Loan Committee, I would need to come 15 16 off of that committee. Is that correct? 17 MR. DIETZ: Yes. We would need somebody to 18 serve on the Loan Committee. And I believe when you were first were on Loan Committee, the previous director on 19 20 that kind of came to the first few meetings with you, or sat in on the phone calls with you to kind of help guide 21 22 the transition. 23 MS. CARDENAS: Yes.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

help with that transition process, that might be helpful.

MR. DIETZ: So if you're willing to do that and

24

25

MS. CARDENAS: Certainly.

MR. DIETZ: So we could probably handle all that as one motion. I might suggest the Loan Committee, it is helpful to have some knowledge about financing, lending. Mr. Williams has had some experience through the various things that you've been involved in and might be an appropriate choice for the Loan Committee. The Loan Committee meets a lot of times via phone, right, monthly?

MS. CARDENAS: Yes, I've been able to.

MR. DIETZ: So it doesn't actually require another trip to Austin.

MR. LONG: The Loan Committee meets typically the day before the Board meetings, so as we did yesterday, we always meet in advance of the Board meeting. It's not a quorum scenario so we don't post in our posted meeting. It is just an internal meeting for review and discussion of our portfolio, and that member then can call in if they want to and we set that up via conference call, if you would like to do that. So just letting you know kind of the process for doing that.

If you happen to come in the day before and want to attend in person -- Mr. Romero used to attend in person just because he got here early enough to do so -- but that's up to the Board members. I know Ms. Cardenas attends via phone and then she flies in, so it's up to

1 y'all how you want to go about that. But it meets monthly and you can do it from anywhere if you want to do it by 2 3 phone. 4 MS. CARDENAS: It's the day before a Board meeting. 5 6 MR. LONG: Yes, the day before the Board. And, 7 Ms. Cardenas, you probably, having sat on it for almost a year now, you can tell kind of what the expertise is and 8 kind of what the time constraint is on it. And we can be 9 pretty flexible on it, as well, since it's not -- like the 10 other day we had to change the time on it just to 11 12 accommodate some scheduling. MR. DIETZ: The Audit Committee meets really 13 14 only twice a year, unless there's another called meeting, 15 and that's once to review the audit that's prepared by our external auditor, or I guess a couple of times related to 16 17 each audit, each annual audit. 18 MR. LONG: And then the budget, as well. And then the budget, yes, the audit 19 MR. DIETZ: 20 and the budget. And then those meetings are traditionally held the same day as the Board meeting, just at nine 21 22 o'clock or so. 23 Mr. LONG: And those are posted meetings. 24 MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: So those are public.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. DIETZ: Public.

25

1	MR. LONG: Public meetings and they're posted,
2	that's correct.
3	MR. DIETZ: Any discussion about all of the
4	above?
5	MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I have a
6	background in finance in terms of economics and financial
7	background, so I would love to serve on the Audit
8	Committee.
9	MR. DIETZ: Interest in serving. Great.
10	MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: If that helps.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: And I do have a background in
12	underwriting and finance, so I would love to serve on the
13	Loan Committee.
14	MR. DIETZ: I think that would be very
15	appropriate, so I would entertain a motion to that effect
16	that we have Mr. Williams serve as our Loan Committee
17	member, that Ms. Cardenas serve as chair of the Audit
18	Committee, and Ms. Johnson-Rose serve as the other Audit
19	Committee member.
20	MR. LONG: You can make it.
21	MR. DIETZ: Can I make that motion?
22	MR. LONG: Yes, you can.
23	MR. DIETZ: Then I will make that motion.
24	MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: I will second it for you,
25	sir.

1 MR. DIETZ: Great. It's been moved and seconded. Is there any discussion or is there any public 2 comment? 3 4 (No response.) MR. DIETZ: Okay. All in favor? 5 6 (A chorus of ayes.) 7 MR. DIETZ: Any opposed? 8 (No response.) 9 MR. DIETZ: Okay. That passes and we have our Audit Committee membership and our Loan Committee. 10 We'll move on to tab item 4, which is the 11 Presentation and discussion by Patterson and Associates 12 regarding the Corporation's investment portfolio. 13 14 MS. PATTERSON: Especially for the new Board 15 members, my name is Linda Patterson, obviously part of Patterson and Associates. 16 17 My background is in public investments and public finance, starting in the City of Fort Lauderdale, 18 and then moving to Fort Worth where I served for 16 years 19 20 and ended up as treasurer of Fort Worth, and then came to Austin to be the deputy state treasurer under Kay Bailey 21 22 Hutchison, and then moved over and I'm pretty proud to 23 say, 25 years this year formed Patterson and Associates. So it's been a long haul, real quick, but a long haul. 24

What we do is we serve only public entities, cities and

25

states, hospitals, boards, you name it, so that's what we do.

2.

So what I'm charged with doing today is to bring you up to date. I'd like to talk a little bit about the economy first because it sets the stage for what is happening, what's impacting your portfolio, how it's impacting it.

Every day is a new day right now. Ever since the beginning of the year, we've had markets that are gyrating, and that's why I call this The Same Story. It's really the same thing that's been hammering the markets since the beginning of January and that is the trade tantrums, as I like to call them, and trade has been the big part of what has been affecting the markets.

When we have good news, as we did in May, everybody is happy, the markets are up, the equities are up, the money flows out of the fixed income market and flows into the equity markets, driving them higher, driving our rates a little bit higher.

And then we turn around and we see what Mexico has taken on and then we go back down again, and then Mexico is settled, we go back up again. So you can really see the effects of the tweets and the tantrums about what's going.

If you remember, in December, after two years

of hikes, nine separate hikes by the Federal Reserve on the overnight interest rates, we were looking at an additional -- the market was counting on three additional rate hikes during 2019.

As we slowed down, as we normally do in January, then actually saw some slowing of the economy, then we started talking about, well, maybe there's going to be just one rate hike. Now, when it got really bad, it was three rate cuts. It doesn't seem to be supported by the facts but that's what the talk was, and that was really what the markets are reacting to.

In fact, now, unless we get some kind of move, I think probably at that G20 meeting later this month, the market is now pricing in about a 70 percent chance that we will get a rate increase in July. You have to think that Chairman Powell and the Markets Committee is going to be pressured by the bond vigilantes. I doubt if that's the case. But, we do think that there is a possibility, at least, of one additional ease during this particular calendar year.

The geo-politics of the situation, tied in with the trade, is something else that has been a major effect for the markets. The leaving or the abdication or the resignation of Theresa May has been a major factor, as has Brexit been a major factor for two years now.

What's happening now, the way that works is they'll have a little bit of a period here where they'll have to elect a new PM, but they still have that October deadline. They have to hit that October deadline or it's a no deal exit. That will be very, very difficult for all the trading partners and for the financial entities in the UK. So, that's a major factor that's going on.

Another thing that's going on with Europe right now is Italy. Italy has been thumbing its nose at the EU ever since the new president came in, and he continues to do that. There is a rule under the European Union that the debt level should be 60 percent of GDP and no farther. Italy's is about 123, so the EU is deciding what they're going to do to react to Italy's stance on this whole thing.

And then, of course, you have North Korea and you have Syria, both saber rattling, Venezuela pretty much imploding. At the end there, it was just so amazing I had to throw it in. Venezuela has not for several years told us what their inflation rate is but it is 130,000-plus percent inflation there. So, something is going to happen there.

Another thing that's happening, of course, is China, and that's China, internally. China, internally, has gotten to the point where they have made about 12

major stimulus moves to keep their economy going. So there's always that news about, well, China has do to do this deal. China doesn't have to do the deal.

China is trying very hard to keep itself liquid and keep its debt down. They never had debt before because they were always able to manipulate the economy. Now, they have not and their debt, since the financial crisis, has increased seven times. The household debt, because it's now becoming a middle-class society, has increased three times in the last ten years. So that's a significant problem for them and it's one that is feeding into this whole situation of the trade. So, it's not as clear-cut as it sometimes appears to be in the press.

This one, the pace slows, the market is, of course, saying that the economy is slowing. The Regional Federal Reserve Banks' reports that come out, the Beige Books, are really indicating a slowing. But, it's a slowing, it's a stuttering, it's not a stopping of the economy.

And we've had several reports over the last month or so which really shocked the market -- and you'll see the effects on the next slide -- and that is that durables was down, and that's durable products. This is durables without aircraft, and that was down significantly, and that says people just aren't buying the

big ticket items. And GDP, it doesn't sound like very much but it is, started the year at 3.2, is now at 3.1, and so that's a slowing factor that the market is very concerned about.

You also have employment which has slowed down. Although we've had two kind of unusual, or I think you could just say funky reports that came out that are very, very low, in the 20,000s; it just doesn't sound right.

But that employment is going down but the wage pressure is going up. And, it's really the wages that drive people buying things and that's what keeps the economy moving. So, also with the wage pressure going up, that's inflation going up.

We saw last week, or earlier this week, PPI coming in, Producer Price Index indicator of inflation and it was up significantly, and that just argues against rate hikes. It definitely argues against having rate cuts because the Fed doesn't want that inflation to take and run. It's very difficult to bring that back down again. So, they're going to watch that very, very closely.

Now, CPI came in this morning pretty much as expected, so no big problem. Housing, which is your area, obviously has slowed a little bit. Existing homes have slowed a little bit, new homes have slowed considerably, which is a concern to the market. You have new homes

coming in now at a little bit higher price. They're coming in at \$342,000 on the average home for a new one. That's up about 11 percent, and so that, even though the mortgage rates have come down a little bit, is a real border for new owners of new homes.

And then the other big area that's affecting what's going on is the farmers. And, there's a new program that's about to come out to the tune of about \$16 billion that will address particularly corn and sorghum and soybeans because they've been hit by the tariffs perhaps hardest and also by the spring flooding. And so, you're going to see a little bit of a difference there.

Emerging markets are up. And you can see on those charts, we're stuttering along. We're not falling, and that's where it comes to be a question of what's really happening on the yield curve. This is the yield curve, May coming in on the solid red line. And, you can see that inversion really strongly down from the two-year to the five-year. The two-year this morning was under 2 percent and the ten-year at a 2.13. So, it's moved considerably, especially from when you look at October or so.

The equity markets, though, are robust. They're running. We had six good days, but the bond market is taking the brunt of it. And it's interesting, I think, if

you look here -- if I have a pointer -- right in here where we've had a little inversion for a long time, that's out to about the one-year area, and the one-year area is key. That area is key because that's money market funds, and when people are concerned, they go to safety. So they run into, and they want to keep their powder dry, so they run into the money market funds, they keep their cash liquid, when all that money is chasing a set supply of treasuries and agencies and commercial paper. It's running into that sector, and so it's pushing the prices up and the rates down, and that's why we've had that front line inversion for a long time.

Now, the back inversion back here, which is getting more and more serious, although some of the long-term holders are just hanging on there, which would make sense if they're anticipating that the rates are going down. They're going to have better profits in their bonds.

But, in here that's not just economy, that is more comparability. I just checked it this morning and our ten-year is sitting at a 2.13, which is awful. I wouldn't want to put ten-year money out there at 2.13. Germany's ten-year is a negative .24, Japan's ten-year is a negative .12. Only the UK is in positive position of the major markets at a .6 positive. So when you look at

ours at a 2.13, it looks a lot better than getting a negative, which would be your secondary choice on an international investment.

So, there again, you have huge amounts of international investors, the pension funds, the big funds, the banks moving that money into the five- to 30-year area, and they don't want to go out to the 30-year too much. But, they'll stay in that five- to ten-year area, sitting there waiting for the rates to go down a little bit.

But, that is not, I don't think, totally indicative of what's happening in the economy. And, that's why I remain more of an optimist on this because it's really the bond markets are almost taunting the Fed to cut, and I think that Chairman Powell is going to say, I'm waiting on the data. And so, that's why I can't buy into the July cut. I'm definitely in a minority there, but it's because there's something else going on here.

So, how has that been affecting your portfolio?

And I just noticed this when I sat here, I thought it would be clever if I changed and put the latest data on the top; but I didn't change the beginning and ending narrative. So, this is the ending book value and market value up at the top.

Your quarter ends in May and we don't have the

figures for that yet. But, the month ending April, you can see that you were sitting at \$25 million on a book value, your actual ownership is \$973- and the market value being slightly lower, \$19,000 lower.

That's a natural unrealized loss and that's the fact that the interest rates have been going up. When interest rates go up, prices go down; and so that's why you have a natural unrealized loss. And of course, it's unrealized unless you sold, unless it's actually realized; so it's more an indication of volatility than anything else.

We've extended the portfolio. It's now at the end of April, 171 days versus 69 days at the end of the last quarter, and that's because of what's happening in the yield curve. Since the beginning of the year, we've been trying to extend portfolios where cash flow allows that to happen because you, when rates are falling, you want to lock into the rates that you have and let the rates fall below you, which is also good because you're gathering gains as those go down and so you can come out with a profit. You can see the difference because the unrealized loss in February was \$30,000, it's \$19,000 and that's just the move of the curve going down.

But you ended the April portfolio at \$2.199and interest earnings for the fiscal year through April of \$309,000. So, it's looking very good to get close to -depending on what happens with the rates -- at least.
\$600,000 interest for the year.

If you look at the diversification in the portfolio, again, April being on the left-hand side and compared that to your last quarter end of February, you can see there haven't been very many changes. The ones I've circled, the bank is the most surprising one, and it's jumped up, and that's primarily because of the money that has to sit in insured bank accounts, and it's not really hurting you too much. The rates look awful, you can see them at the bottom at a 1.21. They're sitting in Frost because that is the requirement for those funds, but you have to return that interest to the Feds anyway, so give them a little bit less.

The other thing you can see that's changed here a little bit is Logic going from 38 percent of the portfolio down to 21 percent, and that's us extending the portfolio slightly, trying to get them out there a little bit and lock in the yields that are possible.

And you see it more clearly here. With April over on the left-hand side you can see where cash flow permits and where rates permit. We've extended out to the six- and nine-month area, a little bit farther into the nine- to twelve-month area and the over one year, and

that's just an effort to lock in the yields before they potentially fall down.

And of course, if they go up they wouldn't go up real quickly I wouldn't think. Although the old saying in the market is that when rates come down, they come down on the stairs -- when they go up, they go up on the stairs; and when they come down, they come down on the escalator. And this is a changing market; so, it's a little bit difficult and you just want to place your portfolio to make sure that you're covered either way.

In the surplus funds portfolio, again, the narrative, the words are wrong. The ending book value is the \$3.3 million, with an unrealized gain of \$199,000. This is newer money, it's more stable money, and therefore, it's going to stay that way.

Here again, we haven't extended this. This is money that is actually managed, per se, but you have about a 5.6 ending yield in there. And you can see the difference between the quarter yield and the ending yield, the rates are sinking just ever so slightly and your interest earnings for the fiscal year up through April is \$144,000.

These funds are in three particular groups: they are Ginnie Maes, Fannie Maes and Freddie Macs.

They're all in the 16- to 20-year area, they're going to

stay there, they're not traded. They're simply sitting in there and recognized as the surplus funds.

And then, your direct lending portfolio overview, it ended at \$147,000, and this is as of April, because you got the new funds in May, so that \$147,000 and it's sitting totally in bank accounts earning nothing.

That's the allocation for the direct lending.

There are three programs. One was the Willows Replacement, now it's the Willows Replacement Reserve, but you can see that holds the bulk of the money, and \$48,000 for Rita Blanca and \$2,000 for Neighborhood Stabilization.

So, I'd be glad to answer any questions that you have, except where rates are going.

(General laughter.)

MR. DIETZ: Do we know what like our whole return is of the portfolio, like the last year?

MS. PATTERSON: When you say return, that's a little difficult. Return is on a trading portfolio and that's our rate of return that would incorporate the money, the profits and the market price. So I can give you the yields and that's of a portfolio that's stable and that is our bonds.

MR. DIETZ: Right.

MS. PATTERSON: So the yield is basically going to be -- I can calculate it for you -- it's probably going

1	to be right around 2.2.
2	MR. DIETZ: And is that our net yield?
3	MS. PATTERSON: Yes, that's net.
4	MR. DIETZ: Okay. Any other questions?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. DIETZ: Well, I think that's very helpful
7	for us to have that presentation, especially since we have
8	some new Board members and we can see what's going on with
9	the Corporation's investment portfolio, so thank you for
10	that.
11	MS. PATTERSON: Well, staff knows they can call
12	any time they want to talk about economics or the
13	portfolio, so I send that also out to Board members.
14	MS. SMITH: I would like to clarify, if I
15	could. For example, that last screen that you saw, direct
16	lending, those are all funds that we keep for multifamily
17	projects, those are their debt service and reserve funds;
18	it's not really our money, and that's why you're seeing
19	zero interest earned on that.
20	We're required to keep those funds in specific
21	bank accounts that don't earn interest and are there for
22	someone else. So, those are not our funds that are just
23	sitting there idle.
24	MR. DIETZ: And for the benefit of the Board,

we have very specific guidelines about how to invest all

25

of the funds. Correct? 1 MS. SMITH: Very specific, and limitations on 2 what we can invest in. 3 4 There's also additional money, I think what you were referring to a moment ago, we got a grant from the 5 6 Capital Magnet Fund that was roughly \$3.7 million and that 7 was included in that overall bank number that you saw at the beginning. 8 That bank account percentage of 18 percent was 9 really large; but there again, we're required by the 10 Federal Government to keep it in a very specific, it has 11 to be insured or collateralized -- actually it has to be 12 insured, doesn't it, Nick? 13 14 MR. LAWRENCE: Correct. 15 MS. SMITH: So, that's why that percentage was so large. Normally, we would not keep that much just in 16 17 the bank like that. 18 MR. DIETZ: And we pay an annual fee, correct, for your services? A percentage-based fee? 19 20 MS. PATTERSON: No. It's a flat fee. MR. DIETZ: Oh, it's just a flat fee. 21 MS. PATTERSON: As you keep growing, I wish it 22 23 was a percentage. 24 (General laughter.) 25 I have to say, it's been the same MS. SMITH:

ever since we started with you many, many years ago, which we very much appreciate.

MR. DIETZ: Well, thank you for all your work for us. We really appreciate it.

And I don't think this item requires any action from the Board. It was just for our information.

MS. PATTERSON: Thank you.

MS. SMITH: Thank you.

MR. DIETZ: Okay. Tab item 5 is the Presentation, discussion and possible approval of a resolution appointing hearing officers for the Corporation. Is that you, Mr. Long?

MR. LONG: Yes, sir.

So tab item 5, in the past the Corporation has had a need for hearings officers for both multifamily and single-family transactions. What we're asking for in this resolution is essentially to have kind of a standing set of hearing officers that could be authorized to act on behalf of the Corporation for various hearings, not just the single-family or multifamily transactions, to the extent that we also incorporated in here that it would be various executive officers, including and in addition to the officers at the Corporation. But, we also added that it could include the Corporation's bond counsel where we might need them, which would be Norton Rose Fulbright, at

this point in time. And we felt that was giving us enough coverage that if we had a scenario come up that we would be able to cover any and all needs.

Nine times out of ten or more, like 99 times out of 100, the staff will be able to serve in that capacity. So in this case you have the directors of the divisional programs, Multifamily Division; David Danenfelzer on Finance, Joniel Crim at Homeownership, and then myself, and then obviously you have Norton Rose Fulbright and whoever their representative might be to serve in that, and then, of course, Janie Taylor as executive vice president. But again, they're not noted by name, they're noted by position, so it just gives us that flexibility to have good coverage.

MR. DIETZ: Don't we already have this in place, we're just renewing it?

MR. LONG: We're just renewing it and expanding it beyond what it was.

MR. DIETZ: What are the expansions specifically?

MR. LONG: Adding more people. So, normally, in the past it would be we'd just have the program officers do it, and so it's adding myself and Janie as well as bond counsel, just to give us more coverage in case something happens.

1 MR. DIETZ: Any questions or comments? MS. CARDENAS: How often do we see, I quess, 2 the need? I mean, there's always going to be a need, but 3 4 how often? MR. LONG: On the single-family programs, every 5 6 time we issue bonds we have to hold a hearing, so we do 7 that, and the same thing with David Danenfelzer's programs, if we issue bonds on that scenario, we do the 8 9 same there. Other than that, I'm not aware that a hearing officer will be needed beyond that, but this just gives us 10 coverage should something come up. 11 MS. CARDENAS: And I was asking just to 12 understand if there was where we were in a situation or 13 14 bind where there wasn't representation and so that's why 15 we're also expanding it. I think it was just more at the 16 MR. LONG: No. 17 recommendation of bond counsel that we just kind of cover 18 our bases, so you add an executive staff, myself, Janie and Melinda as CFO, and then the program staff which have 19 20 always been in place, and then we added bond counsel. 21 So, it's really just coverage more than it is a need should it arise. But, we felt it was an appropriate 22 23 expansion just to ensure that we don't ever have a 24 scenario where we're not available to attend a hearing 25 because that could cause a delay in the actual

1	transaction.
2	MS. CARDENAS: Okay.
3	MR. DIETZ: Any other questions, comments?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. DIETZ: I would entertain a motion that we
6	approve the resolution appointing hearing officers for the
7	Corporation.
8	MS. CARDENAS: So moved.
9	MR. DIETZ: Is there a second?
10	MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: Second.
11	MR. DIETZ: It's been moved and seconded that
12	we approve the resolution appointing hearing officers for
13	the Corporation.
14	Is there any public comment or further
15	discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. DIETZ: All in favor?
18	(A chorus of ayes.)
19	MR. DIETZ: Any opposed?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. DIETZ: The resolution passes.
22	On to tab item 6, which is the Presentation,
23	discussion and possible approval of a resolution regarding
24	the submission of one or more applications for allocation
25	of private activity bonds, notices of intention to issue

bonds and state bond applications to the Texas Bond Review Board for the Ventura at Fairgrounds Apartments.

MR. DANENFELZER: Good morning. My name is

David Danenfelzer. I'm the senior director of Finance and
wanted to give this introduction of the proposal.

What we have today is the Ventura Fairgrounds project which was actually proposed last summer. We reviewed it, and the Board did approve an inducement resolution at that time. We applied for reservation of volume cap for the project in August of last year, and under state rules we have 150 days to get a project from that point of reservation all the way to the closing date.

At that time, there were two transactions by the same developer, Dominion, the Ventura at Tradewinds and the Ventura at Fairgrounds. They were able to get Tradewinds through the whole process of site plan review and permitting on time with the City of Midland, but the Fairgrounds property posed some additional problems with site plan review, and so the project was delayed, and we were not able to close in January by the end of the 150-day timeline.

The developer and his team has gone ahead and finalized the site plan review with the City of Midland, and they are ready to submit for permitting and get that going again. The whole transaction is still very

feasible, and particularly, as Ms. Patterson noted, we've had a little bit of a decline in rates in the last couple of months and weeks, and that is actually helping the feasibility of the project.

2.

We have maintained our underwriting at the original interest rate that we had back in the proposal in January, but we do believe those will also come down and make the project a little bit more feasible once we get to closing.

The schedule for this, however, is that we do need to get your approval to resubmit the application for reservation of private activity bonds, which is the proposal and the resolution before you today.

We'll go ahead and resubmit on August 15, for that volume cap. And there's a reason for that, we have to wait until August 15 when state rules allow us to apply for more than \$20 million per project. This project requires \$40 million in bonds and is 300 units, so we'll have to wait until that date, but we will submit on that date, get a new 150-day time clock to close on.

However, we're fairly confident we'll get through that quite a bit early because all the bond documents are already drafted and ready for execution. We will just simply need to go through the state's steps of reservation, re-approval by the State Bond Review Board,

and then the closing procedures. But, we do anticipate
this closing sometime in October or early November once we
finalize the State Bond Review Board's process.

So, we're really pretty happy to bring this
back to you. But I'll open the floor up for any questions

back to you. But, I'll open the floor up for any questions about the project, in case there are any.

MR. DIETZ: So, we've actually approved this once before and just because of the time issue?

MR. DANENFELZER: Right. In fact, last

November. the Board actually approved the closing of this

transaction and we moved toward closing in January, just

were not able to get there because of the site plan review

problems.

MS. SHIPLEY: If you don't get the site plan again, will that affect this approval?

MR. DANENFELZER: That's a great question.

Actually, they do have site plan approval now, and so now they're just preparing to submit the permitting. But, they didn't want to submit permitting and finalizing the construction costs until we have the reservation in hand. It makes it quite a bit easier at the city level and also to guarantee your contractors because they will have to go out and re-bid. They do have a lot of sway with this contractor because they're already building the other property, and I believe the contractor has done a good job

of holding costs in check.

We've also seen some re-balancing of costs within the construction market, particularly in the Midland area. There's a little more access and supply for labor in Midland lately, so that's helping bring down costs a bit. Some material costs are up but we're not too concerned about the balance right now.

MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: Mr. Chairman, I have a question.

You mentioned that the interest rate would potentially change from what we were offering them before versus now. Can you expand upon that?

MR. DANENFELZER: So first of all, the interest rates are usually set by the bond purchaser, the entity purchasing those bonds and placing them into their portfolio.

We underwrote that at their commitment letter and the purchaser, which is actually sort of a two-step purchase between an initial purchaser for the construction period and then these will be sold off to Fannie Mae -- I get them mixed up too, Fannie and Freddie, sometimes, sorry; it's in the report, though -- but when those are transferred over, that commitment is still in place and they've held that interest rate on their letter of intent for this transaction.

We know that once they get closer to closing, typically, about five days out from closing, Fannie Mae and the bond purchaser will actually price the bonds in the market and determine what they want to actually pay for interest.

At this point, my estimate would be we would save about 30 basis points on that original interest rate that was due for closing in January. However, I don't really know what's going to happen in the next 150 days, so it's possible we get close to that. But, we do know that it won't go above that rate, at this point in time, so we're fairly confident they'll lock in at or below that rate once we get close to closing.

MS. CARDENAS: And when are we expected -- I know you said you have to wait till the August 15 date, but it seems like we've already prepared everything, right, from prior experience, so how quickly are we expected, assuming everything goes through August 15, for our closing date?

MR. DANENFELZER: I think because of the steps we have to go back through at the Bond Review Board, we probably won't close until October or early November, because once we submit the reservation, we'll then submit in August to go to their board for approval in September. And then, once we get their board approval -- which is

typically the third Thursday of the month -- we'll have to 1 submit all the documentation to the Attorney General's 2. Office and then there's a 17-day review period, and so 3 4 that's when we get kind of into October. You know, there may be hiccups because the 5 6 Attorney General or someone else may want some additional 7 information or clarity on the documents. We're not too concerned about it at this point, because the Attorney 8

General has actually reviewed these documents.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We're not changing anything except for some numbers and dates, and as long as they're comfortable with that, we should be able to get through that mandatory 17-day review period without any hiccups.

But, that would put us into sort of the first or second week of October, and then it just depends on the capital markets and the investors to make sure they can line it up with their schedule to say, okay, we're going to bid on the 15th of October and then five days later we'll close, or whatever date they pick.

MR. DIETZ: Any other questions, comments?

(No response.)

MR. DIETZ: Is there a motion that we approve the submission?

MS. CARDENAS: So moved.

MR. DIETZ: Is there a second?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

MR. WILLIAMS: I'll second. 1 MR. DIETZ: It's been moved and seconded that 2 we approve the resolution regarding the submission of one 3 4 or more applications for allocation of private activity bonds, notices of intention to issue bonds and state bond 5 6 applications to the Texas Bond Review Board for the 7 Ventura at Fairgrounds Apartments. Is there any public comment or any other 8 discussion? 9 (No response.) 10 MR. DIETZ: All in favor? 11 (A chorus of ayes.) 12 MR. DIETZ: Any opposed? 13 14 (No response.) MR. DIETZ: 15 The resolution passes. MR. DANENFELZER: Thank you very much. 16 17 MR. DIETZ: On to tab item 7, which is the 18 Presentation, discussion and possible approval of the Corporation's program guidelines for Phase II of the 19 20 Housing and Economic Assistance to Rebuild Texas, or HEART 21 program. 22 MS. CLAFLIN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Board 23 My name is Katie Claflin, the director of Communications and Development, and I am joined by Michael 24 25 Wilt, the manager of External Relations.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

We are here to present tab item 7 which is an action item asking the Board to approve the program guidelines for Phase II of the HEART program. I'm going to provide you with a very brief update on the program and then turn it over to Michael, who will actually discuss the Phase II guidelines.

So, as a bit of background, TSAHC launched the HEART program in spring 2018, in collaboration with Enterprise Community Partners. The program provides grants and technical assistance to local nonprofits that are helping households recover from Hurricane Harvey. If you're not familiar with Enterprise, they're a national nonprofit that provides capacity building and financial support to support local nonprofits.

We had \$1.5 million available for grants in the first round of funding thanks to seed funding from the Rebuild Texas Fund and additional financial support from the Meadows Foundation, the Center for Disaster Philanthropy and \$100,000 in unrestricted program revenue committed by TSAHC's Board of Directors. To date, we've awarded more than \$1.4 million to 35 nonprofit organizations, and so funds for the first phase of the program are almost fully committed at this time.

As David mentioned in the president's report, the Rebuild Texas Fund, which is a joint partnership

between the Michael and Susan Dell Foundation and the Lone Star Foundation, just awarded TSAHC and Enterprise a \$1.1 million follow-up grant to conduct a second phase of grants through the HEART program. This phase is intended to support high-performing nonprofits that were awarded funding in the first funding round, as well as nonprofits that have been specifically identified and recommended by the Rebuild Texas Fund.

Of that \$1.1 million grant, \$840,000 will be administered by TSAHC as grants to support nonprofits providing home repairs; \$200,000 will be passed through to Enterprise to administer as grants to support nonprofit staffing costs; and the remaining \$60,000 is allocated to support costs incurred by TSAHC and Enterprise to administer the grants and monitor the grantees.

And based on some of the lessons that we learned in the first funding round and our ongoing discussions with the Rebuild Texas Fund, we are recommending a few changes to the structure of the Phase II round of grants.

So, at this point, I'll turn it over to Michael to discuss those changes.

MR. WILT: Thanks, Katie.

I'm Michael Wilt, External Relations manager here at TSAHC.

Like Katie said, our Phase II application process will be a little bit different. We also incorporated some feedback from the Phase I applicants.

The changes to the Phase II requirements are summarized on this first page in your board book, and then we also included a redline version so it's easier to kind of walk through the changes from Phase I to Phase II, so I can go over those briefly starting with the program overview.

Like Katie mentioned and like David mentioned in his president's report, we awarded more than \$1.3 million in grants to 34 nonprofit organizations. So, that activity is summarized in that program overview section and then it also makes reference to the second round of funding.

In that next section under the funding for critical repairs, the commitment for the home repair is reflected as \$840,000, like Katie mentioned. That's up from the Phase I commitment of \$350,000.

And then the most important part are the eligibility requirements. This is where we made some material changes that are reflected in the summary and in the redline section, the first being that we're limiting Phase II applicants to 501(c)(3) nonprofits only. That's the second area under experience requirement. We had to

Phase II will be just for 501(c)(3). 2 The financial requirements, there is no change 3 4 there, that remains the same, that we require two years of audits or two years of a financial review if an 5 6 organization's annual budget is less than \$750,000. 7 The next change is the geographic requirements. In the first round, we could do a 50/50 split between 50 8 9 percent being the Greater Houston Area and 50 percent being outside that. 10 Our funder, Rebuild Texas Fund, has asked that 11 the vast majority of these Phase II funds go to outside 12 13 the Houston area, so Harris and Waller counties will be 14 limited to up to 20 percent of the total funding, and then 15 80 percent will go into underserved areas. Yes? 16 17 MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: What percentage of Phase I went to Harris and Waller counties? 18 MR. WILT: I believe it was below 50 percent. 19 It was. 20 MS. CLAFLIN: I'd say it was between 35 and 40 percent. 21 22 MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: Okay. Went to Harris and 23 Waller, but on the Phase II they want to limit that to 20 24 percent? MR. WILT: And I would say largely because 25

delete the reference to non 501(c)(3) organizations since

1

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

Rebuild Texas Fund is directly funding big organizations in Houston, organizations that we have relationships with and that are very high capacity, high performing, that get awards significantly higher than our new cap, which \$100,000, they're getting half a million to a million dollars.

MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: Okay.

MR. WILT: And then finally, we still allow for the exceptions like we did in Phase I, so that hasn't changed.

In the event that an organization may not have an audit or there are some other, they have a new program, we still allow our president and vice president to sign off on exceptions, and we have used those exceptions in Phase I. We don't anticipate we'll need one in Phase II and I'll get to that in a second, why we don't anticipate that.

The administration section is next, and the main difference between Phase I and Phase II is that this will be invite only. We have made site visits, we've interviewed a majority of our Phase I grantees, and we determined that there are some very high performing ones that we've identified in Phase I, and those will be invited back to Phase II.

So, in Phase I, we had a pre-proposal and for

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 proposal process, and those were two opportunities for us to vet the organization. They would answer some threshold questions in the pre-proposal, and if they provided satisfactory answers, then we invited them to Phase II and did a more thorough review of their proposal, and then we approved them or didn't approve them based on that.

The Phase II will be just one singular application with some threshold type questions, and assuming they satisfactorily answer all those, then we'll recommend them for funding. And again, this is because we're only inviting those high performing nonprofits from Phase I.

Yes?

MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: How large is that pool that you all went through Phase I and then Phase II to approval? How many nonprofits is that?

MR. WILT: Well, we have a pool of 34 right now and we've whittled it down to between six to nine, probably, that would be invited back for Phase II. We're still working with the Rebuild Texas Fund. We offered them I can't remember initially how many, probably up to eight or nine?

MS. CLAFLIN: We've been focusing on there are several nonprofits that we provided home repair grants to that finished their grants early. So, we provided them

with a year to expend the funds, and in several cases they had so much need and such high capacity that they were able to fully expend their grants within three to six months, and those are the organizations that we're focusing on, initially.

2.

As well, as Michael mentioned, we've actually gone out and visited those organizations, looked at the repairs that they provided, and those are the organizations that we're initially recommending to the Rebuild Texas Fund for additional funds.

MS. CARDENAS: But, are we saying that we're only inviting individuals from the Phase I; there can't be any new applicants -- the Phase I, right, we're only inviting them into Phase II, but if you have new applicants that were not in Phase I, there's not an opportunity for them, even though they meet the criteria, to come into Phase II?

MS. CLAFLIN: We will consider new applicants if they're specifically referred by the Rebuild Texas Fund. The Rebuild Texas Fund did ask that we retain a little bit of funding in case there are some applicants that they refer directly to us.

And the reason for that is that, at this point, they're preferring to focus on larger grants, grants of \$300,000, \$400,000 plus. But, occasionally, they do get an

applicant that would meet the requirements for our program but is less than that amount, and in those situations they've asked that we work directly with that nonprofit.

MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: And we facilitate that?

MR. WILT: We're approaching the two-year anniversary of the landfall of Harvey. So, we would hope that a nonprofit would have been active by now, that we would have identified them and had a chance to work with them. But like Katie said, our funder does retain the right for us to work with anyone.

MS. CARDENAS: And, I guess, that was going to be my questions. I would hope Rebuild Texas would have, right, we're approaching -- well, we've already started hurricane season, right, so you may have -- God forbid, we have anything go on, but in preparation for that, to your point, yes, what has already happened has happened and you would hope that they would have come forth. But, should something else happen and in the midst of those years, you have other nonprofits that have organized themselves that are viable, that are doing well.

But I just wanted to make sure that there wasn't such strict guidelines that it would prohibit, you know, individuals that have these organizations to provide for the community, that it was, okay, you weren't in this, sorry, we're not going to be able to afford you these

opportunities moving forward. I didn't know if there was just, you know, a little gray area for things that may come.

MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: And to Valerie's point, and this may not be the right funder to do this with, but I would hope that we have opportunities to kind of build capacity within some of the nonprofits.

Again, Rebuild Texas may not be the right funder for that, but the word high performing nonprofits and if that's down to a list of under ten, you know, we would hope that there's opportunities within TSAHC to build that capacity so that we have more high performing nonprofits that are eligible to take advantage of the different programs that we have.

MR. WILT: That is a component of this program, as well. We have ongoing technical assistance.

Enterprise, our partner in this, is more focused on that capacity-building aspect.

You know, a lot of what we've heard from the grantees is: we got this infusion of funds and we started a repair program and how are we going to continue it whenever the funds dry up? So we are sensitive to how we stair-step an organization from being all volunteer led to then having a repair staff and want to continue those activities on. That is a component of this.

MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: 1 Okay. MR. WILT: The last change is that our new 2 grant cap is \$100,000 instead of \$50,000, and that is a 3 4 reflection that these are organizations we have a higher comfort level with. 5 6 The individual household cap is now \$15,000 7 instead of \$10,000. That was based on feedback we got from the first round of grantees. And also, we are 8 allowing for rebuilds, home rebuilds, in addition to 9 repairs in this round. We don't anticipate you can do a 10 rebuild with \$15,000, they would have to combine that with 11 other funding, but we will allow that activity, as well. 12 And then lastly, we are allowing up to 5 13 14 percent for administration, they can use that as administrative funds, 5 percent of their award. 15 MS. CARDENAS: That was specifically reflected? 16 17 MR. WILT: Yes. 18 MS. CARDENAS: So, to your point on the \$15,000, right, nowadays I don't know how far \$15,000 19 20 would take you. But, what are some of the repairs that occurred with this \$15,000? 21 22 MR. WILT: Most commonly, roof. MS. CLAFLIN: Drywall is a big one, mold

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

remediation was another big one, the homes that were

23

24

25

flooded.

1	And to the rebuild point, and this was one of
2	the things we noticed as we went out and did site visits,
3	a lot of the nonprofits are working towards rebuilds
4	because they're taking homeowners who were in flood-prone
5	areas and then moving them to areas that are not as prone
6	to flooding in the future. So, that's just a long-term
7	sustainability to make sure that they don't repair a home
8	and then in five or six years it floods again.
9	MR. DIETZ: Great questions. Any other
10	questions, comments?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. DIETZ: Well, then is there a motion to
13	approve the new guidelines for Phase II?
14	MS. CARDENAS: So moved.
15	MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: Second.
16	MR. DIETZ: It's been moved and seconded that
17	we approve the Corporations program guidelines for Phase
18	II of the Housing and Economic Assistance to Rebuild
19	Texas, or HEART, program.
20	Is there any public comment? Any further
21	discussion?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. DIETZ: Okay. All in favor?
24	(A chorus of ayes.)
25	MR. DIETZ: Any opposed?

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

1 (No response.) Okay. The guidelines are approved 2 MR. DIETZ: as submitted. 3 4 MR. WILT: Thank you. Thank you. 5 MS. CLAFLIN: MR. DIETZ: And our final tab item for the day 6 7 is tab 8, which is not an action item so it requires no action on the Board's part, but it is a discussion of the 8 9 86th Texas Legislative Session. MR. WILT: Michael Wilt, again, External 10 Relations manager. 11 Like David mentioned in his president's report, 12 the legislative session concluded sine die on May 27. 13 14 This was an active session for us, more active than we've been in the past, and there are some mixed results from 15 the session. There were some high points and then other 16 17 points that will require future session action. 18 I will preface this by saying the legislative process is intended to not pass bills, bills are intended 19 20 to be defeated at some point in the process, and that's pretty much a good thing for the way our legislature 21 22 works. 23 So, odds are if you are filing a bill, you have a less than 20 percent chance of your bill ultimately 24

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

passing, and we were very active in five bills this

25

session, and one of those passed, so it almost dovetails exactly with the percentage of having chances for a bill to pass at a 20-percent passage rate.

I'll give a little bit more details on pieces of legislation, since we have new Board members. But, starting with SB 1474, this was the omnibus private activity bond program bill, and it is the one that did ultimately pass, and it's currently sitting on the Governor's desk and we expect, based on conversation this morning, that the Governor will sign. He has a few more days to either sign it or it can become law without his signature.

What David Danenfelzer was saying earlier about that project cap for \$20 million and the Midland project that's coming in at \$40 million and we have to wait until August 15 to do the issuance on that, this bill will fix that.

It changes the project cap to \$50 million and it also ties it to an index number so that it can be \$50 million or a higher number that will grow over time so that we won't have to go to the legislature ten years from now and ask for a higher project cap.

So, in essence, what that will do is it will allow multifamily private activity bond deals to get done earlier in the year and housing can be built quicker. Not

only does it apply to us as statewide issuers but it applies to local issuers as well.

2.

It does some other things as well that will make the private activity bond program run more efficiently and it also increases the overall allocation for housing issuers a little bit because it eliminates a sub-ceiling under that program that was being unutilized.

So all in all, it makes a lot of changes and updates the private activity bond program that had a big stakeholder of all issuers worked on this hand in hand with Chairman Lucio, and it's a good bill that ultimately got passed.

HB 1402 by Representative Walle and the Senate companion by Lucio, we were calling that the TSAHC purposes bill. It would have done two major things to our purposes. It would have allowed us to do economic development activities and, basically, so we could have financed a commercial component of a development in addition the residential component, so more mixed use developments, and then it would have changed our income limits up to moderate income, and these two change went hand in hand.

That bill made it out of the house and made it out of Senate committee, so three-fourths of the way, and then was eligible to be considered by the full Senate the

last week of session and ultimately did not get considered by the full Senate. Different members of the legislature had different concerns with the bill.

I will say this was the first session where it was introduced as a stand-alone bill. So, between now and the next session we'll see if we can iron out those issues and maybe it will be re-filed. We're not really sure where we'll go from here on that one.

Senate Bill 1114, also by Senator Lucio, would have allowed us to work with school districts to develop housing primarily for school district employees on surplus land that was owned by the school district. This bill made it out of the Senate and then was heard in the House committee and did not make it out of the House committee.

Senate Bill 1116 would have set up a state tax credit system to increase the amount of funding for permanent supportive house. Permanent supportive housing units are mainly for people experiencing homelessness, and it gets them an affordable place to live, and then services that are wrapped around that to make sure that they can stay in housing and that they have housing stability.

This would have set up a very unique and innovative tax credit that would have been given to managed-care organizations. Managed-care organizations

are big insurance companies and they have a vested interest in seeing more people in stable housing, because it means they are in a healthy community and they are out of the emergency room.

So, these managed-care organizations are subject to an insurance premium tax, and they would have gotten a credit against that tax liability if they invested in the development of permanent supportive housing.

This was the first time this bill had been filed. Again, it got a hearing in Senate Finance. We've got some clear direction on where to go from here, because there is support for the idea, but it was just an idea that wasn't fully baked by the time the bill was filed, so between now and the next session, we'll do some more homework on that and hopefully have some wind behind our sails going into that next session.

We're also going to ask the two committees,

Senate Intergovernmental Relations and House Urban Affairs

that cover housing -- they ask us what interim charges we

want, and we're going to ask that this be an interim

charge, how we can get more financing options for

permanent supportive housing?

And then lastly was House Bill 2646 by Gutierrez, and that would have directed us to establish

and operate a statewide land bank program that would create housing for veterans.

2.

And that bill sailed through the House, there was only one member opposed to it, and then it never got referred in the Senate so it got stuck in the Senate. And we've been in contact with Gutierrez's staff, and they're not really sure what happened there.

What we've since found out is that any sort of land bank, land trust legislation that the legislature really tapped the brakes and said give us the interim to better understand how land banks work and then we'll revisit it next session, because there were other land bank program bills as well which had a lot of support that ultimately did pass.

With that, I'll open up for questions.

MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: Just a comment that I would just encourage us to be vigilant about the next session because a lot of these are really impactful. That would be great if they could actually happen and get passed, so putting together a plan to start that work now so that we can be in better position next session and get it passed.

MR. WILT: Sure. And to the extent that you want to be engaged in the process, we do ask from time to time our Board members to volunteer to make Capitol visits with us, especially on items that they're interested in,

1 so we would eagerly accept that offer to be part of the 2 process. 3 MS. JOHNSON-ROSE: Awesome. Thank you. 4 MR. DIETZ: We weren't really involved in the impetus or the origination of any of these bills; they're 5 bills that we became aware of after they had been drafted 6 7 or filed, so we were involved along the process but not at the beginning. We didn't go out and ask anybody. 8 MR. WILT: We didn't ask members to carry 9 legislation for us. That's correct. We were approached 10 before session and said are there things on your mind, are 11 there things that maybe need to be fixed? 12 The private activity bond bill comes top to 13 14 mind because that was at the forefront of every housing issuers agenda. Other issues were either ideas that the 15 member already had or ideas that we had kind of played 16 17 around with and then had turned into legislation. Like 18 the permanent supportive housing bill was us and Health and Human Services Commission collaboratively had come up 19 20 with that idea. So, a member might reach out to you 21 MR. DIETZ: and say, hey, I'm thinking about such-and-such, and then 22 23 you'll provide some feedback to help finalize.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

resource in a lot of cases, such as we were on 1474 as

24

25

MR. LONG: Yes. We tend to be asked to be a

1	well, and we just offer that resource. Sometimes it's
2	helping to brainstorm.
3	MR. WILT: We've never shopped around an idea.
4	MR. LONG: Any other questions?
5	MR. DIETZ: Other questions?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. CARDENAS: Thank you.
8	MR. DIETZ: Thank you. That's a positive
9	report.
10	Well, there's no reason to go into closed
11	session today?
12	MR. LONG: There is not.
13	MR. DIETZ: Any other business we need to
14	discuss?
15	MR. LONG: No, no business other than, again,
16	the next tentatively scheduled meeting is July 10, and we
17	will keep the members informed as we move forward with
18	setting up that.
19	MR. DIETZ: I will not be here, but my July is
20	kind of crazy, so luckily we have a vice chairman now.
21	(General laughter.)
22	MR. DIETZ: Great. Well, then unless there's
23	any other comments or any other questions for Mr. Long, it
24	is now 11:55, and we are adjourned.
25	(Whereupon, at 11:55 a.m., the meeting was

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342 1 adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE

MEETING OF: TSAHC Board

LOCATION: Austin, Texas

DATE: June 12, 2019

I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 6364, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording made by electronic recording by Nancy H. King before the Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation.

DATE: June 17, 2019

 (Transcriber)

On the Record Reporting 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., Ste. 515 Austin, Texas 78752